

F.No.1-A/NMA/NOC-2014
Government of India
Ministry Of Culture
National Monuments Authority

The approved minutes of 116th meeting held from 15th December 2014 to 17th December, 2014, is hereby forwarded for perusal and comments, if any.



Pankaj Rag
(Member Secretary)

MINUTES OF THE 116TH MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10:30 A.M on 15th December 2014

The meeting was attended by the following participants:

1. Prof. H.P. Ray, Chairperson NMA
2. Sh. Saleem Beg, Member NMA
3. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Member NMA
4. Ms. Shalini Mahajan, Member NMA

The following NOC Applications were put up for consideration.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Shri Aumdevsinh Bhojubha Servaya, Shree J.V. Co. Housing Society, Plot No. 24, Talaja- 364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement + Ground Floor with the total height of 5 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 169.68 sqm.

Case no.02

(Shri Hitendrasingh Janaksingh Jadeja, Block No. 5, Nr. Talaja River Bank, Talaja-364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 7.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 123.13 sqm.

Case no.03

(Shri Jayendrasingh Ravubha Gohil, Block No. 5, Darbar Gadh, Bank Colony, Talaja-364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 7.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 123.13 sqm.

Case no.04

(Shri Kachaliya Akilbhai Yusufbhai, Gorkhi Road, At. Talaja, Tal. Talaja- 364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already started construction without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.05

(Shri Kanabhai Hajabhai Bheda, Mahuva Chokdi, Shivdhara Shopping, Tal. Talaja- 364140, Dist. Bhavnagar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already completed the construction work upto Basement + Ground Floor on a floor area of 127 sqm. without permission. Therefore, it was decided to confirm with CA, whether any show-cause notice was issued to the applicant for carrying out construction without prior approval and if not, show cause notice must be issued immediately and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.06

(The Executive Engineer, (O&M) PGVCL, Mangrol, Junagadh, Division Office Mangrol, Near Post Office, Tower Road, Mangrol- 362 225, Dist. Junagadh, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already started the construction without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.07

(The Chairman, Vaso Aarogya Mandal, Opp. Haveli Mandir, Bazar, At & Post. Vaso, Dist. Kheda- 387380, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already completed the construction without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.08

(Shri Vikramsinh Bhagvansinh Mahida, Nr. Vasodhari Matta Temple, Vaso, Tal. Nadiad, Dist. Kheda- 387380, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the building upto Ground+1 floor without permission. Therefore, it was decided to confirm with CA whether any show-cause notice was issued to the applicant for carrying out construction without prior approval and if not, show cause notice must be issued immediately and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.09

(Shri Trushaben Rajeshbhai Patel, Bajar Ni Kachiya Wad, At. Vaso, TalVaso, Dist. Kheda, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has partially completed construction on a floor area of 82.08 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.10

(Shri Baijul Sureshbhai Maniar, Director, Goldwave Buildcon Pv.Ltd.,G-7 and 8, Vaishali Complex, Opp. Pariseema Complex, Swagat Cross Road, C.G. Road, Ahmedabad- 08, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 10.60 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of 65 sqm. on the GF & FF and 26 sqm. on SF)

Case no.11

(Shri Jagdishbhai K. Shah and others, E/9, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floor on a floor area of 47.98 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.12

(Shri Jagdishbhai I. Patel, D/20, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on floor area of 71.05 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.13

(Smt. Rashmidevi Rajkaran Dugar and Smt. Hemlata Rakeshkumar Dugar, D/24, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on floor area of FF=70.50 sqm. and SF=29 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.14

(Shri Selvam Kanubhai Naydu, D/12, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on an area of 71.05 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.15

(Shri Ashwinbhai Premjibhai Patel and Rasikbhai Premjibhai Patel, D/25, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on an area of 70.50 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.16

(Smt. Minal Umang Shah, D/7 and 8, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floor on an area of 141 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.17

(Smt. Nilamben Rajeshbhai Jagetiya, D/22, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on an area of 70.50 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.18

(Shri Bhaveshbhai Govindbhai Patel, D/21, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on an area of 70.50 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.19

(Smt. Jasantiben Dineshchandra Kothari, D/19, Ravi Estate, Rustam Mill Compound, Dudheshwar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the additional floors on an area of 70.50 sqm. without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.20

(Shri Mohammad Khalid Abdulkadar Qureshi, POAH OF Shri Chandulal Kalidas Mukhi and others, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors on an area of 57.69 sq. m. with the total height of 13.50 mtrs. including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.21

(Shri Haroon Faridmohammed Balluwala, 1491, Vadilal Ni Khadki, Matawalo Khancho, Tad No Sheri, Jamalpur, Ahmedabad - 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of 118.41 sqm. on each floor with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. in accordance with NMA's decision to restrict height taken in July 2014.

Case no.22

(Shri Mohammad Aiyub Gulam Husain Kodawala, C/o. Er. M.P. Gajjar, Off: 1, Prince Terrace, Godha Street, Nr. Jupiter Class, Nanpura, Timaliywad, Surat- 1, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc on a floor area of 39.22 sqm. in accordance with NMA's decision to restrict height taken in July 2014.

Case no.23

(Shri Vallabhadas Parshottam Modi, Chandrabhaga Steet, B/h. Hotel Bansi, Dwarka, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 10.96 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., on an area of 40.89 sqm.

Case no.24

(Shri Vilas Bapurao Deshpande and others, Brahman Faliya, Raopura, Nr. Tower Char Rasta, Vadodara, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 4 Floors with the total height of 16.90 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., on an area of 41.66 sqm.

Case no.25

(Trustee, The Proprietary High School Trust, Divan Ballubhai High School, Nr. Rajnagar, Narayan Nagar Road, Paldi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors of Block A with the total height of 11.50 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. of Block A (67.76 to 87.09 sqm) and additional construction of 1st Floor on Existing Ground Floor of Block E (121.32 sqm) with the total height of building 7.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.26

(Shri Shrenik Manubhai Shah and others, 996/1, Nr. Mahalaxmi Society, Nr. Bhagwan Nagar Tekro, Paldi, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., on an area of 120.41 sqm.

Case no.27

(Trustee, Shri Jagannathaji Mandir Trust, Besides Jamalpur Darwaja, Jamalpur, Ahmedabad- 38 0001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already started construction without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.28

(Shri Pragnesh H. Sokhadia, 3, Tejvirpark Society, Nr. S.T. Staff Quarters, Opp. Mayur Park Society, Danilimda, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 9.82 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., on a floor area of 22.48 sq m.

Case no.29

(Shri Gulam Maiyuddin Shahbuddin Shaikh and Shri Mohammad Shahbuddin Shaikh, 472, Kumbhar's Delhu, Shahpur, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 12 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.30

(Gulam Haidar Gulam Mohiyuddin Shaikh, 460, Mankodi Pada's Pole, Opp. Lal School, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., on floor area of 69.27 sq m.

Case no.31

(Shri Sanjay Kumar Vinodchandra Vyas, Tena No. 24, Shree Achaleshwar Co.Op. Hou. Society, Nr. Railway Colony, Balvatika, Maninagar, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of 1st and 2nd Floor with floor area of 52.03 sqm each over existing Ground Floor with the total height of building 12.65 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.32

(Smt. Kanyadevi Rupsinh Rajpurohit, 800/1, Panchbhai Ni Pole Derasarwalo Khancho, Nr. Pagathiya, Kalupur, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors with floor area of 82.34 sqm and the total height of 13.05 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.33

(Smt. Shradha Hanskumar Agrawal, Radhadevi Ramakant Agrawal and Hanskumar Ramakant Agrawal, 1- Ishwarpark, Opp. Prasant Soc., Navjivan, Navarangpura, Ahmedabad- 380014, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for addition and alteration on existing Ground and First Floor and construction of 2nd floor on floor area of 155.33 sq m and with the total height of building 14.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.34

(Shri Asit Chandulal Shah, House No. 21/2, B/h. Kadva Patidar Vadi, Usmanpura Cross Road, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad - 380014, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+GF+2 Floors on floor area of 223.95 sq m with the total height of 15 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.35

(Shri Patel Hariibhai Lalabhai and others, C/o. Dharmesh Prajapati, A-7, Ratnamani Society, Near Tele. Exchange, Patan- 384265, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for GF+2 Floors on floor area of 320.55 sq m with the total height of 15.61 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc.

Case no.36

(Shri Shehzan Salim Qureshi,101, Residency Palace,Muslim Society, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc on floor area of 93 sqm in keeping with NMA's decision of July 2014 to restrict height in old city of Ahmedabad.

Case no.37

(President, The National Indian Association and others, Bholanath Sarabhai Building, Khamasa Char Rasta, Raikhad, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of basement and floors with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc on floor area of 228.43 sq m in keeping with NMA's decision of July 2014 to restrict height in old city of Ahmedabad.

Case no.38

(Shri Sandipbhai J. Merchant, Trustee of Shree Ramji Laxmidas and Shree Odhavji Laxmidas Charity Trust, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with basement and the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. on floor area of 12512.46 sq m [as per plan] in keeping with NMA's decision of July 2014 to restrict height in old city of Ahmedabad.

Case no.39

(Shri Malik Siraj Alimuddin and Shri Rahim Abdul Rehman Desai POAH of Shri YakubhaiKhedawala and others, Maharaj Nu Delhu, B/h. Two Masjid, Panch Pipli, Jamalpur, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction with the total height of 17.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc on floor area of 104.82 sq m on ground floor and 190.66 sq m on first to third floors.

Case no.40

(Shri Anant Ashokbhai Shah, Director of Bhagya Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., 345, Gusa Parekh ni Pole, Opp. UmiyaBhavan, Nr. Manek Chowk, Ahmedabad- 380001, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has started the construction without permission and the show cause notice was issued. Therefore, it was decided to ask the C.A. to submit the show cause notice and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

National Monuments Authority

9

Case no.41

(Smt. Saneh Lata Gupta, Jyotsana Gupta and Smt. Madhu Gupta, 6/7, Sarvapriya Vihar, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of additional third floor on existing Ground+2 floors with the total height of building 16.31 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF= 130.05 sqm., FF=SF=TF= 125.09 sqm.

Case no.42

(Divisional Engineer, Telecom Project-I, Laying underground optical fibre cable from Bhairon Marg to Burari via Mathura Road, Ring Road, ITO, Raj Ghat, Red Fort, Salimgarh Fort, ISBT-Kashmere Gate, Wazirabad, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided that the applicant should be asked to come for a power-point presentation on the proposed work of Laying underground optical fibre cable in an ensuing meeting of NMA.

Case no.43

(Executive Engineer (C) DR-III, DJB (Laying of Sewer lines, near Tripolia Gate at GT Karnal Road, Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for Laying of Sewer lines, near Tripolia Gate at GT Karnal Road. The permission is granted subject to conditions mentioned in the report of CA, Delhi.

Case no.44

(Shri C.L. Bhalla, G-51, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground+3 Floors with the total height of 16.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF=FF=SF=TF=125.33 sqm.

Case no.45

(Shri Mumtaz Ahmed Faridi, Shri Shakeel Ahmed Faridi, Shri Sohrab UI Haq, Shri Shad UI Haq, Shri Maher and Shri Zartaj, C-9, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF= 125.33 sqm., TF=125.20 sqm. and basement area is 77.48 sqm. with depth of 2.90 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 215 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.

Case no.46

(Smt. Indira Makhijani, C-54, Mayfair Garden, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on Ground & First Floor and construction of Second Floor with the total height of building 12.95 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF=199.32 sqm., FF=SF=196.47 sqm.

Case no.47

(Smt. Prem Lata Bajaj, K-44, NDSE-II, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=125.35 sqm., FF=SF=TF=122.92 sqm.

Case no.48

(Shri Sunder Lal Aggarwal and Shri Gulab Chand Aggarwal, B-3, C.C. Colony, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=125.352 sqm., FF=SF=TF=122.756 sqm.

Case no.49

(Shri Kewal Chand Jain and Shri Subhash Chand Jain, F-105, Jain Colony, Veer Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=112.036 sqm., FF=SF=TF=109.152 sqm.

Case no.50

(Smt. Sunita Mehta, 117, Block-172, Jorbagh, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=185.16 sqm., TF=164.57 sqm. and basement area is 185.16 sqm. with depth of 2.90 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 252 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.



Case no.51

(Shri Rajesh Kumar Sachdeva, 22, Khasra No. 55, Begumpur, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=45.15 sqm., TF=40.11 sqm.

Case no.52

(Shri Rajesh Kumar Sachdeva, 23, Khasra No. 54, Begumpur, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty; water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=112.83 sqm., FF=SF=TF =110.74 sqm.

Case no.53

(Shri Nem Chand Jain, C-96, East of Kailash, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=88.915 sqm., FF=SF=TF =86.483 sqm.

Case no.54

(Mrs. Kanchan Mathur, Mrs. Madhu Mathur, Shri Alok Kumar Mathur and Shri Deepak Kumar Mathur, F-20, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application and affidavit submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors only in the regulated area with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=187.372 sqm., FF=SF=TF= 185.126 sqm. However, no basement is permissible, as the distance of the proposed site from the nearest protected monument is 94 mtrs. All the construction should be allowed only after leaving 100 mtrs. area from the nearest protected limit of the nearby monument.

Case no.55

(Shri Anil Jasuja, B-2/51, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 17.10 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF= TF=123.738 sqm. and basement area is 66.561 sqm. with depth of 2.89 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 260 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.

Case no.56

(Shri Kiran Sood through its GPA Shri Ashok Kumar, 65, Khasra No. 33/11, Triloki Colony, Kotla Mubarakpur, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF= 125.41 sqm. However, no basement is permissible, as the distance of the proposed site from the nearest protected monument is 140 mtrs.

Case no.57

(Shri Chandra Prakash Srivastava and Shri Ravi Prakash Srivastava, C-19, Geetanjali Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF=187.432 sqm. and basement area is 141.455 sqm. with depth of 3.50 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 213 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.

Case no.58

(Shri Baldev Raj Dua, Shri Sunil Dua, Shri Jasmeet Singh and Shri Jaspreet Singh, 4/7, Singh Saba Road, Shakti Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicants have started construction work after getting Building Plan sanctioned by MCD. Therefore, it was decided to ask MCD that how the permission was granted without obtaining NOC from C.A.

Case no.59

(Shri Harbans Singh Alak, D-188, Saket, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=154.36 sqm., GF=156.69 sqm. FF=SF=TF= 154.36 sqm.



Case no.60

(Shri Vinay Jain and Shri Vikas Jain, C-53, Jain Colony, Veer Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=125.07 sqm. FF=SF=TF=118.62 sqm.

Case no.61

(Nalam kanakratnam, Andhra Pradesh) – Case already discussed in 111th meeting

While preparing the minutes, it was observed that this case was earlier considered in the 111th meeting of NMA held from 27th to 29th October, 2014, wherein the case was recommended for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 3.75 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF=47.12 sqm..

Case no.62

(D. Pramila, Andhra Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF=47.76 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Government of India
Ministry of Culture
National Monuments Authority
24, Tilak Marg, New Delhi 110001

MINUTES OF THE 116th (2nd Day) MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10.30 A.M on 16th December, 2014

The meeting was attended by the following Members:

1. Prof. H.P. Ray, Chairperson NMA
2. Sh. Saleem Beg, Member NMA
3. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Member NMA
4. Ms. Shalini Mahajan, Member NMA
5. Mr. Pankaj Rag, Member Secretary.

The following cases were taken up for consideration:

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Mr. Radhakrishnan, Thiruvanchilulam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC with the total height of 4.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 59.75 sqm.

Case no.02

(Sh. Subrahmanyam, Chemmanthitta, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC with the total height of 4.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 78.25 sqm.

Case no.03

(Sh. K.C. Subhash, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC with the total height of 7.45 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), total area 131.28 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.04

(Sh. Pradeep A. Fernadaz, Thangassery, Kollam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC with maximum total height of 7.40 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), total area 168.89 sqm.

Case no.05

(Mr. M.R. Babu, Mattancherry, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor with total height of 4.55 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with floor area 38.54 sqm.

Case no.06

(Devaswom Officer, Thiruvanchikkulam, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with maximum total height of 3.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), total area 52.042 sqm.

Case no.07

(Mr. N.B. Manju, Mattancherry, Ernakulam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with maximum total height of 12.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 614.94 sqm.

Case no.08

(Sh. Febin Fernandez, Thangassery, Kollam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with maximum total height of 6.98 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 166 sqm.

Case no.09

(Mr. Musthafa, Cherumanagad, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for single storey with total height of 4.25 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 291.90 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.10

(Smt. Vinitha Prakasan, Ariyannur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 7.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 184.58 sqm.

Case no.11

(Sh. Anzal Benny, Thangassery, Kollam, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 6.98 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 104 sqm.

Case no.12

(Mr. Moosa Haji & Sulaima Haji, Pattambi, Palakkad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for three storey + stair room with total height of 14.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 1715.78 sqm.

Case no.13

(Mr. George K.K., Avitttathur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 8.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 200 sqm.

Case no.14

(Mr. Shamsudheen, Sulthan Bathery, Wayanad, Kerala)

After perusal of the application and the provided photographs, it seems that construction has already started. Therefore, it was decided to recommend the case subject to the condition that the applicant has not started the construction work without approval. Also, if the work has been started then whether any show cause notice was issued by the CA or not.

*storey
with staircase
upto 3.90
m inclusive*

of single

National Monuments Authority

Case no.15

(Sh. Sudhakaran, Ariyannur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 7.20 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 222.58 sqm.

Case no.16

(Mrs. Betty Jose-1, Thrissur, Avittathur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 7.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 124.64 sqm.

Case no.17

(Mrs. Betty Jose-2, Avittathur, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 7.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc)., with total area 124.64 sqm.

Case no.18

(Mrs. Betty Jose-3, Thrissur, Avittathur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 7.30 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 124.64 sqm.

Case no.19

(Secretary, Cochin Dewasome Board, Triprayar, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was noted that the proposal is for a construction of permanent Nada Panthal inside the Sreerama Swamy Temple complex. So, it was decided to check with the CA/ SA for the exact distance from protected limit and also whether the construction area falls under ASI jurisdiction or it belongs to the temple land.

Case no.20

(Mr. Rajesh V. & Smt. Renjini Sarma, Cherppu, Thrissur, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for double storey with total height of 7.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 166.69 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.21

(J.I. Inamdar, R.J. Inamdar, H.J. Inamdar, Bijapur, Bijapur, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+GF+2 storey with total height of 14 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area of basement-912.72 sqm. and GF=FF=SF=698.85 sqm.

Case no.22

(Sh. T.C. Narasimha Murthy, Belur, Hassan, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 storey with total height of 7.86 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area of GF-74.90 sqm., FF-74.90 sqm.

Case no.23

(Sh. Krishnappa Shivaputrappa Panchal, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 storey with total height of 7.24 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area of GF-55.27 sqm., FF-55.27 sqm.

Case no.24

(Sh. Gutteppa s/o Ningappa Talavar, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.25

(Sh. Mohammad Isahaque, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF with total height of 4.72 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 58.52 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.26

(Smt. Khairunnisa Bashirsab, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.27

(Sh. Akhelabanu w/o Syed Mujid Saidanavar, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF with total height of 4.72 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 30.19 sqm.

Case no.28

(Smt. Akhilabi Naanasab Tadas, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF with total height of 4.67 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area 34.83 sqm.

Case no.29

(The Chairman, Nagareshwar Devastan Arya Vaishya Samaj, Nargunda, Gadag, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+GF+1 floor with total height of 8.5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area of basement-103.30 sqm, GF=FF=103.30 sqm.

Case no.30

(Smt. Siddamma, Kailash Nagar, Gulburga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.31

(Smt. Rekha D/o Sh. Yellappa Gadiyankannahalli, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF with total height of 4.6 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area GF-58.06 sqm

Case no.32

(Sri Umesh Channappa Katagi, Dharwad, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height maximum of 10 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) with floor area of 257.21 sqm., since the surrounding buildings are of the height between 7 mtrs to 10 mtrs.

Case no.33

(Smt. Ayesha Banu Imamsab Hulagar, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.34

(Sh. Mailarappa s/o Yallappa Dambal, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.35

(Sh. Anand Laxman Naik, Muttalli, Bhatkala, North Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 6 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area 1408 sqft.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.36

(Smt. Paravva, Balambeedu, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 6.7 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), total floor area 50.53 sqft.

Case no.37

(Sh. Karadi Shankarappa, Naregal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 4.67 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area 61.78 sqm.

Case no.38

(Sh. Jayaram Ningappa Totger, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 6.15 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), total floor area 120.30 sqm.

Case no.39

(The Block Education Officer, Hangal, Haveri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.40

(Sh. Mohammad Hussain Abdulkarim Attara, Haveri, Hangal, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

National Monuments Authority

Case no.41

(Smt. Naseembanu, Dharwad, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for G+1 floor with total height of 7.88 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total area of GF=FF=26.83 sqm.

Case no. 42

(Sh. Basava Shetty, Mysore, Nanjangudu, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with restricted to 7 mtrs height (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), in view of the height of surrounding buildings in the vicinity, with total area of Basement=741.65 sqm., GF=737.35 sqm. and FF=411.00 sqm.

Case no.43

(Sh. G.R. Harish, Gundlupet, Chamarajanagara, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no.44

(Sh. Riyaz Ahamed, Noor Mahammad, Moodabidri, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no.45

(Sh. S.N. Aruna Kumar, Hassan, Shravanabelagola, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for GF+1 & terrace with total height of 8.6 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor GF=FF=20.08 sqmt.

Case no.46

(Sh. N. Krishnamurthy, Chitradurga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no. 47

(Smt. Parimala D. Srirangaptna, Mandya, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 48

(Sh. M. Manjunatha, Gundlupet, Chamarajanagara, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 3.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area 31.06 sqm.

Case no. 49

(Smt. Shobha, Bellary, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no. 50

(Sh. Hemchandra Acharya, Moodabidri, South Canara, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with the total height of 7.00 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc) keeping in view the surrounding buildings and with one basement at the depth of 3 mtrs. with floor area of 1279.10 sqm, *as suggested by CA.*

Case no. 51

(Smt. Parvathamma, Sira, Tumkur, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no. 52

(Sh. G.L. Raju, Gundlupet, Chamarajanagara, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 3.75 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area 145.64 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 53

(Smt. Nalina R, Gundlupet, Chamarajanagara, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 6.9 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area 168.57 sqm., stair case head room 15.76 sqmtr.

Case no. 54

(Sh. Veerabhadrappa, Chitradurga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no. 55

(Sh. A.S. Eswarappa, Amrutapura, Chikkamagalure, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.61 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF-104.63 sqmtr., FF-104.63 sqmtr. The applicant should retain some features of existing local architecture like sloping roof with mangalore tiles.

Case no. 56

(Executive Director, Tonnur, Mandya, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 57

(Sh. Noor Mahamad Riyaz Ahmed, Dakshina Kannada, Moodabidri, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

Case no. 58

(Smt. H.D. Shashikala & H.M. Nandakumar, Madikeri, Kodagu, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 59

(Sh. Mohan, Gulburga, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 60

(Sh. T.C. Shashikumar, Belur, Hassan, Karnataka)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 7.8 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=FF=99.43 sqmtr.

Case no. 61

(Sh. Naresh Ghosh, ACS, Siberbond Cha Bagan, Cachar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for one floor with total height of 3 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area of 12.5 x7.00 sqm. The applicant should be advisable to construct the community hall in Assam type structure.

Case no. 62

(Mr. Bahiti Dutta, Gayan Gaon, Sivasagar, Assam)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 33 ft. (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=FF=187.21 sqmtr.

Case no. 63

(Mr. Jagannath Choudhury, Goutam Nagar, Mouza-Bapuji Nagar, Bhubaneswar, Khurda, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+2 floors with total height of 13.20 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=FF=SF=124.096 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 64

(Mr. Shuvesa Samantaray, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground+1 floor with total height of 8.97 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=FF=210.292 sqmtr.

Case no. 65

(Mr. Subrat Ranjan Panda (GPA Holder, Goutam Nagar, Muouza-Bapuji Nagar, Bhubaneswar, Khurda, Odisha)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Stilt+G+3 floors with total height of 17.17 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area Stilt=387.26 and GF=FF=SF=TF=307.49 sqm.

Case no. 66

(Dr. G.C. Chaurasia, Chief Medical and Health Officer, Bilheri, Katni, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for Ground floor construction of Primary health center, G Type quarter and H Type quarter with total height of 5.70 mtr, 5.10 mtrs and 5.10 mtrs accordingly; with total floor area of G type =79.16 sqm, H Type=59.89 sqm and PHC=385 sqm.

Case no. 67

(Sh. Sandeep Mishra, Rajdeep Mishra s/o Sh. Jaykishore Mishra, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the ~~proposal~~ ^{is already} for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected. Further ASI may take necessary actions as per the order of Hon'ble High Court.

Case no. 68

(Smt. Manju Jain, w/o Sh. Krishna Kumar Jain, Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it has been observed that there is a PIL matter in M.P High Court and it has been directed the Dist. Administration to remove the unauthorized construction. Hence, the case was rejected as per the court order. Further ASI may take necessary actions as per the order of Hon'ble High Court.

Case no. 69

(Smt. Monika Agarwal w/o Sh. Sanjiv Aggarwal, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+2 floors with total height of 12.90 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area is GF=FF=266.06 sqm. and SF=237.53 sqm.

complete ASI has issued notice for demolition

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 70

(Raja Udai Pratap Singh, Hazratganj, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the proposal is for construction of huge commercial building at a distance of 170 mtrs. Therefore, it was decided to get the information on heritage area zone/building bye-laws from Lucknow development Authority

Case no. 71

(Sh. Rakesh Gupta c/o Commercial Motors Pvt. Ltd., Lucknow, UP)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the proposal is for construction of huge commercial building at a distance of 170 mtrs. Therefore, it was decided to get the information on heritage area zone/building bye-laws from Lucknow development Authority

Case no. 72

(Smt. Veena Rani, Kaiserbagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

The details of the case were put up wrongly. Hence, the case has been circulated with the updated synopsis to the members for their comments/views.

Case no. 73

(Sh. Alok Kumar, IAS, Commissioner & Secretary, Board of Revenue, UP, Kaiserbagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground floor with total height of 5 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area is GF-195.94 sqm.

Case no. 74

(Sh. Alok Kumar, IAS, Commissioner & Secretary, Board of Revenue, UP, Kaiserbagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 10.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area is GF-162.225 sqm., F.F-162.225 sqm.

Case no. 75

(Mr. Rahul Gupta, Director, Motors & Sales Ltd., Lalbagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was noticed that the proposal for construction falls under prohibited area. Hence, the case was rejected.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 76

(Manager, City Montessori School, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the CA for the proposed building plan for construction of school building and also the distance to be re-confirmed from ASI.

Case no. 77

(Hussainabad & Allied Trust, Lucknow Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to request the applicant to provide the details of proposed renovation work to CA for consideration as per Rules.

Case no. 78

(Sh. Arvind Kumar Singh, Sarnath, Varnasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC with total height of 11.95 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc).

Case no. 79

(Sh. Rajan Pal and Saraswati Devi, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 12.04 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area is 250.34 sqm each.

Case no. 80

(Smt. Neelu Pandey, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+GF+1 floor with total height of 9.64 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total Plinth area =141.40 sqm.and basement=80.78 sqm.

Case no. 81

(Smt. Asha, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 10.05 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total plinth area is =72.93 sqm each.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 82

(Sh. Rajeev Kumar Yadav, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for basement+ground+2 floors with total height of 9.64 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total plinth area -51.83 sqm. and basement=80.78 sqm.

Case no. 83

(Sh. Phool Chand & Kashi Nath, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with total height of 9.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total plinth area =198.59 sqm each.

Case no. 84

(Smt. Asha Yadav & Sh. Panna Lal Yadav, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with total height of 9.35 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total plinth area =133.07 sqm each.

Case no. 85

(Sh. Rajnikant Maurya, Sarnath, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.50 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total plinth area is -109.77 sqm each.

Case no. 86

(Smt. Kanta Devi w/o Sh. Leela Ram, Gurgaon, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 87

(Sh. Ajay Kumar Kak, Khawaja Sarai, Faridabad, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 88

(Krishna Industries, Khawaja Sarai, Faridabad, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, Members observed that the construction has already taken place without prior approval. Therefore, it was decided to ask the CA whether any show cause notice was issued to the applicant, if not then a show cause notice should be issued for the unauthorized construction by the applicant and the same should be forwarded to NMA once the reply of the show cause notice provided by the applicant.

Case no. 89

(Smt. Anuradha w/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, Inder Prasth Colony, Hissar, Haryana)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with basement with total height of 10.74 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area is 227.40 sqm.

Case no. 90

(Smt. Saroj w/o Sh. Sunder Lal, 135, Park Road, Dehradun, Uttrakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 8.80 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=FF=90.37 sqm.

Case no. 91

(Sh. Gagan Harjal s/o Sh. Janak Raj Harjal, Khalinga Park, Parwadun, Sahestradhara Road, Dehradun, Uttrakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+1 floor with total height of 9.40 mtrs (including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=74.90sqm., FF=51.79 sqm.

National Monuments Authority

Case no. 92

(Sh. Pooran Singh Dangwal s/o Sh. Padam Singh Dangwal, Adhoiwala, Parwadun, Sadar, Dehradun, Uttarakhand)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to **recommend** grant of NOC for ground+2 floors with total height of 11.13 mtrs (including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc), with total floor area GF=136.27 sqm., FF=104.28 sqm., SF=93.93 sqm.

Case no. 93

(Uttarakhand Tourism Development Board (UTDB), Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Paraytan Bhawan, Near ONGC Helipad, Garhi Cantt. Dehradun, Uttarakhand)

&

Case no. 94

(Uttarakhand Tourism Development Board (UTDB), Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Paraytan Bhawan, Near ONGC Helipad, Garhi Cantt. Dehradun, Uttarakhand)

The applicant of Tourism Department has presented the above two proposals; one from Lakhmandal and another Hanol area. After going through the proposals, it has been decided to ask the applicant to change the proposals for both prohibited and regulated areas and submit a fresh proposal/comprehensive plan considering the restrictions of prohibited area as well as aesthetic value of both the temples.

In the decisions of the following three(3) NOC applications, the ~~minutes~~ minutes have been typed inadvertently while recommending/rejecting the cases.

These are as follows :-

Case NO-67 : Sh. Sandeep Mishra, Gwalior, MP

→ In the minutes, the case has been rejected as one of the reasons is that the proposed area falls under prohibited zone, while the area is beyond prohibited zone and at a distance of 240 m (as per Form II) from the protected limit. Hence, the only reason for rejection would be - ^{the applicant has already carried out unauthorized construction.} that there is a PII matter. In this case of Hon'ble High Court, MP. And ASI may take necessary actions as per the order of court.

* Case NO. 90 : Smt. Saroj w/o Sh. Sundar, UK

→ In the minutes, the case has been recommended with total height of 8.80 m inclusive all; with floor area $GF+PF = 90.37 \text{ sqm}$ and inadvertently the munny area = 2280.312 sqft has been added to that, while there is no information about munny area in the Form-II of the applicant.

* Case NO. 91 : Sh. Gagan Harjai S/o Sh. Tanak Raj Harjai, UK

→ In the minutes, the case was recommended for G+2 floors whereas, in the Form II & inspection report, the work proposal was for G+1 with the height of 9.40 m inclusive all.

Hence, these three NOC applications may please be considered accordingly.

AO, NMA

Swastika Nandi
12/01/15

As suggested, may kindly approve pl.

Ravi Chandra
12/1/2015

MS, NMA

Pl. discuss
mp 12/1/15

AO, NMA
MS, NMA/A. A. O.

Biswas. may like to see these corrections to be incorporated. 12/1/15

Views of other Members:-

The NOC proposal of Smt. Veena Rani of Lucknow was circulated amongst the Part Time Members. Reply received from Ms. Shalini Mahajan & Mr. Bharat-Bhushan and it has been agreed by them to recommend the NOC with a height of 10.95 m for G+2 floors. Approval for the same has been received from WTM Mr. Saleem Beg. Submitted for kind perusal & order please.

AD, NMA

May kindly approve.

Ravi Gaurav
30/12/2014

30/12/14

MS, NMA

30/12/14

Chairman
30/12/14

MS, NMA

31/12/14

A.O.P.

A.D. Guleria
31/12/2014

U.S. / Adm

31/12/14

MS Shalini
31/12/14

MINUTES OF THE 116TH MEETING OF NMA

Venue - Conference Hall, NMA Hqrs, 24, Tilak Marg,
New Delhi 110001
Time & Date - 10:30 A.M on 17th December 2014

The meeting was attended by the following participants:

1. Prof. H.P. Ray, Chairperson NMA
2. Sh. Saleem Beg, Member NMA
3. Sh. Pukhraj Maroo, Member NMA
4. Ms. Shalini Mahajan, Member NMA
5. Shri Pankaj Rag, Member Secretary, NMA

The following NOC Applications were put up for consideration.

Fresh Cases

Case no.01

(Shri A.M. Ayoob Hussain, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 7.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF=FF=197 sqm. subject to submission of Building Plan by the applicant to the C.A.

Case no.02

(Mr. A.G. Riyas Rahman, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 10.71 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area GF=FF=SF=750.04 sqm.

Case no.03

(Mr. Muhammed Asif Kizhakkayil, Kerala)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 4.74 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 111.63 sqm.

Case no.04

(Smt. Rajashree Narhari Pednekar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 6.30 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF= 54.09 sqm and FF= 19.51 sqm.

Case no.05

(Mr. Rupeshkumar Murlidhar Bhosale, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Stilt + Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 8.89 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of each floor 71.18 sqm.

Case no.06

(Mr. Prakash A. Mahajan, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of First Floor over existing Ground Floor with the total height of building 8.20 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 85.94 sqm.

Case no.07

(Mr. Rajendra Dhondiram Bhosale, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 11.76 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of each floor 88.09 sqm.

Case no.08

(Mrs. Sadhana Subhash Latnekar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 10 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF=44.80 sqm. and FF=39.00 sqm.

Case no.09

(Mr. Baburao Appaji Naikwadi, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for reconstruction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 9.40 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF=66.26 sqm. and FF=73.57 sqm. and the applicant may be advised to have sloping roof for the proposed new construction.

Case no.10

(Shri Sudhir B. Mehta (Director), Shraddha Buildkon (P) Ltd., Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to ask the applicant to get the Archaeological Impact Assessment done with the help of Deccan College, Pune and submit the same to NMA, for consideration of NOC application.

Case no.11

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, Plot No. 40, Street No. 21-B, Dula Gate, Achalpur, Dist. Amravati, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of WBM Road with side drains. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.12

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 6, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Lower Ground + Upper Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 15.90 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of 565.27 sq m and 286.68 sqm. respectively.

Case no.13

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 443, Panhala, Kolhapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for repair/renovation and development of existing structure around the Sarovar in the overall supervision of ASI officials. No new Construction is allowed.

Case no.14

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 404, Matan Market Parisar near East Fort Wall of Panhala Fort, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of public toilet with the total height of 4.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.15

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 18-19, Nehru Garden near East Fort Wall of Panhala Fort, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of public toilet with the total height of 4.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of 33.17 sq m

Case no.16

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 186, Weekly Bazar Market, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Compound Wall & Chain Link Fencing around the weekly bazaar market with the total height of 1.80 mtrs. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.17

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 205, Talyachi Bag, near East Fort Wall of Panhala Fort, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of public toilet with the total height of 4.70 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of 33.17 sqm. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.18

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, Panhala Fort Toll Naka, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for Erection of temporary shed and system for collection of tourist tax toll plaza with the total height of 6.60 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.19

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, CTS No. 18, Nehru Garden in Panhala, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for development of entrance of Nehru Garden with total height of 4.50 mtrs. Keeping in view the aesthetics of garden, the gate should be re-designed.

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, Chatrapati Si..
Mandir Parisar, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for development of Chatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Mandir Parisar approached pathway and landscapes.

Case no.21

(Chief Officer, Panhala Hill Station Municipal Council Panhala, near Hotel Hill-Top, Panhala Fort, Panhala, Kolhapur, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of road and laying stone paving on the road. The same should be done under the supervision of ASI officials.

Case no.22

(Smt. Mamta Rani W/o Sh. Hemant Kumar, Smt. Rita Gupta W/o Sh. Varinder Kumar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 36'9" including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 753.68 sqft. of each floor

Case no.23

(Smt. Joginder Kaur W/o Shri Balwinder Singh, Shri Bhupinderjit Singh and Shri Varinderjit Singh S/o Sh. Balwinder Singh and Sh. Balwinder Singh S/o Kartar Singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 37'3" including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF=573.50 sqft., FF=286.75 sq ft.

Case no.24

(Shri Jai Prakash S/o Shri Hukum Chand, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 15'3" including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 1174 sqft.

Case no.25

(Shri Avinash Chander S/o Shri Shadi Lal, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement + Ground + 2 Floors with the total height of 38'0" including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. and basement depth is 8'0"., with floor area of 870. sqft. of each floor.

Case no.26

(Dr. Karamjit Singh Chairman, Unity of Man, regd. Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 4 Floors with the total height of 62'9" including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 4409.30 sqft of each floor and Mumty 305 sq.ft.

Case no.27

(Shri Rupinder Singh S/o Shri Harnek Singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 23'0" including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area of GF=771 sqft. and FF=325 Sqft.

Case no.28

(Shri Ghansham Dass S/o Shri Sohan Lal, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 26'0" including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 292 sqft. of each floor.

Case no.29

(Shri Jagdish Singh S/o Shri Chanan Singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 37'9" including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 842.375 sqft. of each floor.

Case no.30

(Shri Resham Singh S/o shri Gurmej singh, Punjab)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 37'9" including mumty, ~~water storage tank, parapet etc., with floor area 1230 sqft. of each floor and Mumty~~ area 278.43 sq.ft.

Case no.31

(Shri Anand Prakash, B-1/66, Safdarjung Enclave, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for additional construction on first and second floor and construction of third floor construction with the total height of building 14.68 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of GF=161.92 sqm., FF=158.55 sqm., SF=158.56 sqm. and TF=39.29 sqm.

Case no.32

(Shri Jai Kishan Leela Ram and Smt. Poonam Thadani, S-200, Panchsheel Park, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=173.07 sqm. FF=SF=TF=170.88 sqm. and basement area is 114.71 sqm. with depth of 3.05 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 210 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.

Case no.33

(Shri Pritam Singh Jagpal, J-4, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application and affidavit submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors only in the regulated area with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=319.65 sqm., FF=317.09 sqm., SF=314.53 sqm. and TF=311.97 sqm. However, no basement is permissible.

Case no.34

(Shri Lalit Jain @ Sonu and Shri Anand Jain @ Bunty, A-13, Displaced Jains, CHBS Ltd., Veer Nagar, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=125.85 sqm. FF=SF=TF=123.54 sqm.



Case no.35

(Shri Mohammad Shamim and Smt. Seema Shamim, B-24, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF=125.33 sqm.

Case no.36

(Shri Paramjit Singh, A-5, Nizamuddin West, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application and affidavit submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Stilt+4 Floors in the regulated area with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=347.26 sqm. FF=417.13 sqm. and SF=TF=344.94 sqm. No construction even of balconies in the respective floors is allowed in prohibited area.

Case no.37

(Shri Sardar Gurmit Singh and others, B-7/59, Safdarjung Enclave Ext., New Delhi)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors with the total height of 18.00 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=FF=SF=TF=176.21 sqm. and basement area is 176.21 sqm. with depth of 3.05 mtrs., as the construction site is at a distance of 265 mtrs. from the nearby protected monument.

Case no.38

(Shri Jatin Gupta, Smt. Anita Gupta, Shri Lalit Gupta, Shri Hitesh Gupta and Shri Rajkumar Gupta, C-9, Green Park Main, New Delhi)

After perusal of the application and affidavit submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Stilt+4 Floors in the regulated area with the total height of 18 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the area of Stilt=GF=188.11 sqm., FF=SF=TF=185.79 sqm. and basement area is 152.82 sqm. with depth of 3.05 mtrs. The basement would be allowed after leaving the 200 mtrs. area from the nearest protected limit of the nearby monument.

Case no.39

(Smt. Pravinaben Virendra Solanki, Daman & Diu)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 7.35 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with the built up area of GF=68.29 sqm. and FF=80.01.

Case no.40

(The Chief Officer, Prantij Nagar Palika, Prantij Dist. Sabarkantha, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground + 1 Floor with the total height of 11.91 mtrs. including mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., subject to submission of floor area plan to the C.A., Gujarat.

Case no.41

(Shri Hemantlal Laxmidas Jogiya, Amar Jewelers, Nr. Union Bank, M.G. Road, Porbandar, Gujarat)

After perusal of the application, it was observed that the applicant has already constructed the building without permission. Therefore, it was decided to confirm with CA, whether any show-cause notice was issued to the applicant for carrying out construction without prior approval and if not, show cause notice must be issued immediately and the reply received from the applicant be sent to NMA.

Case no.42

(Smt. Kanta Kumari, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 12 feet excluding mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with area = 50' x 50' (as per form I).

Case no.43

(Smt. Raj Kanwar, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 14 feet excluding mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc., with area = 22' x 25' (as per form I).

Case no.44

(Shri Babu Singh Parmar, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 11 feet excluding mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. with area = 10' x 16' (as per building plan).

Case no.45

(Shri Vishnu Kumar Mittal, Rajasthan)

After perusal of the application, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Ground Floor with the total height of 10 feet excluding mumty, water storage tank, parapet etc. with area = 40' x 48' (as per building plan).

Deferred Cases

Case no.01

(Shri Mohammed Sajid Mohammed Hussain Khalifa, Shri Umerdaraj Sarfudin Shaikh, Gujarat)

After perusal of the case and considering it as an unauthorized construction, it was decided that imposing penalty will not suffice as the applicant has completed the construction without permission. Therefore, the applicant should demolish the unauthorized part of the building.

Case no.02

(Mahesh Chand Khushwah, Rajasthan)

After consideration of this case, it was decided to check with CA whether the applicant has replied back on the demolition notices for removing the unauthorized construction as issued by ASI.

Case no.03

(Shri Jivraj Mohan Raparka M/s Chamunda Constructions, Maharashtra)

After perusal of the revised building plan submitted by the applicant, it was noted that the building plan is not suffice to provide the clarification on the part of the building which falls in prohibited area. Hence, it was decided to ask the applicant over phone for a new building/floor plan leaving the prohibited area of the nearby protected monument.

Review Cases

Case no.01

(Sh. Laxman Das, Agra)

After perusal of this case, it was decided that the C.A. should be asked to explain the reasons for reviewing his earlier decision, rejecting the case.

Case no.02

(Rupesh brahmbhatt, M/s Safal Construction Pvt Ltd, Safal House, Ahmedabad, Gujarat)

After perusal of the Impact Assessment Report of the protected monument, Sidi Syaiyad Mosque, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of 2 basements in continuation to earlier recommendation of NMA in this case.

Case no.03

(Thiru S. Shiva Subramanian, Tamil Nadu)

After perusal of this case, it was decided to ask C.A. to submit the details of the minor changes in floor area as proposed by the applicant.

Case no.04

(Dr. Narinder Kaur Dhaliwal, Plot No. 3, Shivala Bhaian, Batala Road, Amritsar, Punjab)

After perusal of this case and representation submitted by the applicant, it was decided to recommend grant of NOC in this case for construction of Basement+Ground Floor+5 Floors with the total height of 75'0" including mummy, water storage tank, parapet etc., and floor area of GF=FF=2233.11 sqft., ST=TF=FF=FF=1407.72 sqft.

Meeting held in 2nd half of 17th December, 2014 with the Regional Director (Northern Region), ASI and other officials from Agra Circle, to discuss Categorization of monuments under Agra Circle.

Comments on the categorization of Agra circle by WTM & 2 PTMs

1. The Heritage Zone is not a ASI classification or a category notified under the AMASRA Act/ rules. While some outline of a kind of a zone, sans guidelines or physical limits, exists with the ULBs in Delhi, NMA has to come up with clear and well defined set of guidelines along with a rationale. Declaring a category entails an understanding of the obligations for the stake holders and participating agencies, mainly and mostly the State governments and within the state setup, autonomous public institutions. For all such matters there is a set procedure with the central government for a consultative process with the states and related institutions which needs to be followed. NMA would be well advised to deliberate on the process of this consultation.
2. The concept/definition of Heritage Zones needs to be clearly elucidated so that the understanding of it remains the same for those reading the document and those who have to take it further in their respective zones/areas. The Heritage Zones identified for Agra Circle should be adequately justified. Clubbing two-three monuments based on the overlap of only their regulated zones does not justify their qualification as a heritage zone with no other heritage resource potential in the zone.

3. Identified heritage zones are to be properly marked/delineated on maps (Google or others as available). If NMA wishes to propose to the concerned development authorities of cities like Amroha, Muzaffarnagar etc., to incorporate these in the city master plans, these should be adequately delineated and justified. Otherwise these will be left as a mere suggestions thereby diluting even those zones that have the tacit acceptance of ULBs.
4. NMA needs to think of the larger issue of the jurisdiction of these heritage zones. Who will administer these areas? This issue has been raised by Members earlier on as well. The Authority is discharging statutory role and function. The directions, even in the shape of guidelines, need to be legally enforceable within the notified areas/zones.
5. Categorization is a mandated charge of NMA. Therefore the whole exercise has to be undertaken with due diligence and with full justification. Categorization of Delhi is certainly different than categorization of monuments in other cities. For one, Delhi heritage zone concept has been accepted if not authored by the UL agencies of Delhi, In the case of other cities, the concerned agencies have to be taken on board. Even with Delhi categorization, there is a need for further elucidation.
6. As a regulatory authority, while we are proposing extension of a regulatory frame work to be implemented by the ULBs in the proposed HZ, there is also a risk of creating concurrent and reverse jurisdiction of ULBs for the zones which would then also include and cover prohibited and regulatory areas. These are, as of now, exclusive domain of NMA. This can create an anomaly leading to unforeseen problems.
7. The Members would also like to see the maps of the delineated heritage zones followed by site visits if required, for approval of the identified heritage zones.
8. In the case of Agra, the ASI officials present in the meeting on 17th Dec had no idea or clue about categorization. In the ensuing discussions, they were emphasizing upon evolving of a mechanism to ensure that the violations in the prohibited and regulated areas are effectively dealt with. While this is a genuine concern, it has nothing to do with the issue of categorization.

While it is appreciated that categorization needs to be addressed in right earnest, keeping in view the long-term impact of such decisions, application of mind, consensus and sufficient documentary support is essential so that these stand the legal scrutiny.

Accordingly, we may agree to the categorization of Agra from I to VI categories. So far as categories VII and VIII are concerned, there is a need for further information/examination as stated above to enable the Authority to arrive at an informed decision that stands the test of legal and administrative scrutiny.

1. Sd/- Mr. M. Saleem Beg
2. Sd/- Ms. Shalini Mahajan
3. Sd/- Dr. Pukhraj Maroo

Chairperson's comments:

The issues raised above have been discussed at several meetings in NMA where Members were present, as also outside experts from DDA, SPA, AKTC, INTACH, etc. Members are advised to refer to sections 4 and 6 of Heritage Bye Laws prepared by AKTC of Heritage Zone - Humayun's Tomb Complex on NMA website. Since Categorization of monuments under Agra Circle cannot be finalized in piecemeal, it should be kept in abeyance to give Members time to examine it further and finalize it within a month. Dr. O.P. Mishra, Consultant (Archaeology) will assist Members in the matter.